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1. Do not discuss the contents of this booklet with anyone during and after the contest (until it has been posted on the NACLO web site in late March). If you have any questions during the contest, talk quietly to the local facilitators, who will relay your questions to the jury and then give you the official jury answer.
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Welcome to the fourth annual North American Computational Linguistics Olympiad! You are among the few, the brave, and the brilliant, to participate in this unique event. In order to be completely fair to all participants across North America, we need you to read, understand and follow these rules completely.

**Rules**

1. The contest is five hours long, including a break, and has nine problems (H—P).
2. Follow the facilitators' instructions carefully.
3. If you want clarification on any of the problems, talk to a facilitator. The facilitator will consult with the jury before answering.
4. Each problem is worth a specified number of points, with a total of 100 points. **In this year’s open round, a number of points will be given for explanations.**
5. We will grade only work in this booklet. All your answers should be in the spaces provided in this booklet. DO NOT WRITE ON THE BACK OF THE PAGES.
6. Write your name and registration number on each page: Here is an example: Jessica Sawyer #850
7. Each problem has been thoroughly checked by linguists and computer scientists as well as students like you for clarity, accuracy, and solvability. Some problems are more difficult than others, but all can be solved using ordinary reasoning and analytic skills. You don’t need to know anything about linguistics or about these languages in order to solve them.
8. If we have done our job well, very few people will solve all these problems completely in the time allotted. So don’t be discouraged if you don’t finish everything.
9. If you have any comments, suggestions or complaints about the competition, we ask you to remember these for the web based evaluation. We will send you an email after the competition is finished with instructions on how to fill it out.
10. The top 8 scorers on the invitational round will be invited to represent the US at the International Linguistics Olympiad in Sweden. In case of ties, the NACLO organizers reserve the right to consider other factors, such as open round score and the quality of solutions to specific problems.
11. **DO NOT DISCUSS THE PROBLEMS UNTIL THEY HAVE BEEN POSTED ONLINE! THIS MAY BE 3-4 WEEKS AFTER THE END OF THE CONTEST.**

Oh, and have fun!
PART I
(problems HIJKLM)
(before the break)

Do not work on this part after the break. You have three hours for this part.
Minangkabau is an Austronesian language spoken by about 7 million people around the West Sumatran city of Padang in Indonesia. Its speakers generally also speak Indonesian but Minangkabau is a distinct language.

Minangkabau has a number of 'play languages' that people use for fun, like Pig Latin in English. Ordinary language words are changed into play language by following just a few rules. One of these 'play languages' is called Sorba while another is called Solabar.

Here are some examples of standard Minangkabau words and their Sorba play language equivalents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Minangkabau</th>
<th>Sorba</th>
<th>English Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>raso</td>
<td>sora</td>
<td>'taste, feeling'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rokok</td>
<td>koro</td>
<td>'cigarette'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rayo</td>
<td>yora</td>
<td>'celebrate'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>susu</td>
<td>sursu</td>
<td>'milk'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>baso</td>
<td>sorba</td>
<td>'language'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lamo</td>
<td>morla</td>
<td>'long time'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mati</td>
<td>tirma</td>
<td>'dead'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bulan</td>
<td>larbu</td>
<td>'month'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minum</td>
<td>nurmi</td>
<td>'drink'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lilin</td>
<td>lirli</td>
<td>'wax, candle'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mintak</td>
<td>tarmin</td>
<td>'request'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubadak</td>
<td>darcuba</td>
<td>'jackfruit' (a large tropical fruit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mangecek</td>
<td>cermange</td>
<td>'talk'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bakilek</td>
<td>lerbaki</td>
<td>'lightning'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sawah</td>
<td>warsa</td>
<td>'rice field'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pitih</td>
<td>tirpi</td>
<td>'money'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manangih</td>
<td>ngirmana</td>
<td>'cry'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urang</td>
<td>raru</td>
<td>'person'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apa</td>
<td>para</td>
<td>'father'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iko</td>
<td>kori</td>
<td>'this'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gata-gata</td>
<td>targa-targa</td>
<td>'flirtatious'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maha-maha</td>
<td>harma-harma</td>
<td>'expensive'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>campua</td>
<td>purcam</td>
<td>'mix'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**H1 (2 points).** Using the same rules that you have discovered from examining the words in the Table above, write the Sorba equivalents of the following standard Minangkabau words in the Table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Minangkabau</th>
<th>Sorba</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rancak</td>
<td></td>
<td>'nice'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jadi</td>
<td></td>
<td>'happen'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>makan</td>
<td></td>
<td>'eat'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>marokok</td>
<td></td>
<td>'smoking'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ampek</td>
<td></td>
<td>'hundred'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>limpik-limpik</td>
<td></td>
<td>'stuck together'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dapua</td>
<td></td>
<td>'kitchen'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**H2 (2 points).** If you know a Sorba word, can you work backwards to standard Minangkabau? Demonstrate with the Sorba word *lore* which means 'good'.


**H3 (4 points).** The other 'play language' is called *Solabar*. The rules for converting a standard Minangkabau word to *Solabar* can be worked out from the following examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Minangkabau</th>
<th>Solabar</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>baso</em></td>
<td><em>solabar</em></td>
<td>'language'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>campua</em></td>
<td><em>pulacar</em></td>
<td>'mix'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>makan</em></td>
<td><em>kalamar</em></td>
<td>'eat'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the Solabar equivalent of the Sorba word *tirpi* 'money'? How many different possible answers are there based on the evidence that you have? List all of these hypotheses, from most likely to least likely.

What one or two other words in Minangkabau would you like to see translated to Solabar in order to rule out all of these hypotheses except for one? The remaining hypothesis may or may not be the likeliest one that you selected above.
H4 (2 points). In writing Minangkabau does the sequence 'ng' represent one sound or two sounds?

Provide evidence that supports your answer.
Linguists use diagrams called trees to represent the grouping of words within sentences. Here is a simple example from English:

The tree diagram shows that in the sentence “These dogs chased those cats the rabbit saw”, these is most closely related to dogs, those most closely related to cats etc.

The abbreviations S, NP-agent, VP, etc. stand for different types of words or groups of words. These abbreviations and a few others we will use in this problem are spelled out here:

- **S**: sentence
- **S-mod**: sentence which functions as a modifier
- **NP-agent**: noun phrase denoting the agent (initiator) of an action
- **NP-patient**: noun phrase denoting the patient (undergoer) of an action
- **NP-location**: noun phrase denoting the location of an action
- **N-agent**: noun denoting the agent of an action
- **N-patient**: noun denoting the patient of an action
- **N-location**: noun denoting the location of an action
- **V**: verb
- **V-mod**: verb in a sentence which functions as modifier
- **VP**: verb phrase
These labels give information about the part of speech of a word or group of words (e.g., noun, verb etc) as well as the role that that word or group of words plays in the meaning of the sentence.

When working with trees, linguists write systems of rules (called ‘grammars’) which describe sets of trees. Each rule in the system is a building block. Any tree which can be constructed out of those building blocks is in the set of trees described by the grammar. For example, the tree given above for *These dogs chased those cats the rabbits saw.* requires the following building blocks or rules:
(I) Dogs and cats on trees (3/5)

II (3 points). Your first task is to translate the following sentences from Malayalam, a Dravidian language spoken by about 37 million people, primarily in India. There are two sources of information provided to you: a list of translations of the Malayalam words and a small grammar (set of rules) in the style above for Malayalam. Note that the set of abbreviations used in the Malayalam grammar is not the same as the set used in the English grammar. This is due to grammatical differences between the languages.

There is one twist, however. Some of these sentences are not actual Malayalam sentences. Use the grammar to figure out which ones they are.

For any sentence that is not an actual Malayalam sentence, you should not provide a translation. Write 'Not a Malayalam sentence' instead.

1. ആന സിംഹത്തെ ഓടിപ്പോക്കുക
2. സിംഹ ആനത്തെ ഓടിപ്പോക്കുക
3. ആനത്തെ സിംഹത്തെ ഓടിപ്പോക്കുക
4. സിംഹം ഓടിപ്പോക്കുക ആനത്തെ ബാലൻ സഞ്ചരിക്കുക
5. ബാലൻ ഓടിപ്പോക്കുക ആനത്തെ സിംഹം
(I) Dogs and cats on trees (4/5)

12 (1 point). Draw the tree for any sentence that uses the V-mod rule. (You may use the English translations in place of the Malayalam words at the bottom of the tree.)

13 (1 point). Explain what is wrong with the examples that are not actual sentences of Malayalam.
(I) Dogs and cats on trees (5/5)

Word translations:

- ആന എലെഫാൻറ
- ബിക്ലൻ ബോയ
- സാഞ്ചിൽ ലോയൺ
- ആനത്തെ എലെഫാൻറ
- ഓടിച്ചു ചേർച്ച
t

Tree diagrams:
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```
N-agent
 ബിക്ലൻ
t
```

```
N-agent
 ഗിരിയെൻ
t
```

```
N-patient
 ആനത്തെ
```

```
N-patient
 ബിക്ലൻ
t
```

```
N-patient
 ഗിരിയെൻ
t
```

```
N-location
 അടുത്തുപക്ക
t
```

```
V
 ചേർച്ച
t
```

```
V
 ഗിരിയെൻ
t
```

```
V
 ചേർച്ച
t
```

```
V-mod
 ചേർച്ച
t
```

```n a c l o```
(J) Plains Cree (1/3)

Cree is the most widely spoken of the Canadian aboriginal languages, with about 117,000 people speaking one of its many varieties. Here are six words in Plains Cree (Nēhiyawēwin), a dialect spoken across much of the Western Canadian prairie and in parts of Minnesota, written using the Roman alphabet:

- tehtapiwin “chair”
- mistikwan “head”
- iskwahtem “door”
- tipahikan “hour”
- sakahikan “nail”
- astotin “hat”

J1 (1 point). Below are six related words, meaning “little hat”, “little nail”, “little door”, “little head”, “minute”, and “little chair”. Which means which?

- cipahikanis ______________________
- miscikwanis ______________________
- cehcapiwinis ______________________
- sakahikanis ______________________
- ascocinis ______________________
- iskwahcemis ______________________
(J) Plains Cree (2/3)

J2 (4 points). Although Cree can be written in the Roman alphabet, it is more frequently written in a writing system known as “Syllabics”. This writing system has been adopted by speakers of other Canadian aboriginal languages as well; Inuktitut Syllabics are in wide use, and speakers of Ojibwe (Anishinaabemowin), Blackfoot, and Carrier (Dakelh) have also written their languages in Syllabics.

The twelve words provided above in the Roman alphabet are given below (in random order) in Syllabics. Write their Roman alphabet equivalents in the blanks next to each word.

a. ∩<ll>Δb^3
b. <n>ʃ∩σ^n
c. Queryable Δb^3
d. Γ∩b^3

e. ∩<ll>Δbσ^n
f. Δ^n b^ll Γ^n
g. ∩<ll>Δbσ^n
h. U^ll C∧Δ.³
i. Γ∩b·σ^n
j. Δ^n b^ll U^c
k. <n>C∩³
l. Γ^ll ∧Δ·σ^n

Notes on pronunciation: When writing Cree in the Roman alphabet, the letter <c> represents the [ts] sound.
(J) Plains Cree (3/3)

J3 (5 points). Explain your answer.
Abbreviations are hard. We are used to thinking of standard abbreviations like lb, CA, Mr or Blvd. But in fact people make up new abbreviations all the time, if they are under time pressure (e.g. instant messaging) or if they have severe space limitations (e.g. classified ads in a printed newspaper).

One place where you find lots of abbreviations is the notes taken by the overworked people who staff call centers. They have to record what was discussed, but they don’t have the time to type everything out. So you often get things that look like this, from the logs of a call center run by a major telecommunications company:

```
cust rcvd lttr cncrg local srvc
```

which of course is supposed to mean

```
customer received letter concerning local service
```

Let’s say you are designing a computer program to try to do this kind of ‘normalization’ automatically. You can’t just have a fixed list of abbreviations: the set is pretty open ended. But what you can do is try to look at the whole corpus of data, and hope that someone somewhere has spelled out the complete words. So if for example I am looking at `rcvd lttr`, and somewhere else in the database someone has done us the favor of reporting on a different call, and used fully spelled phrase `received letter`, then we have a chance of guessing the expansion of `rcvd lttr`. That is, `rcvd` is a plausible abbreviation of `received`, `lttr` is a plausible abbreviation of `letter`, and the two occur together in the right order.

Of course, you know English, so you could have figured this out anyway. But the computer really doesn’t. To the computer the problem looks as follows:

You have a bunch of abbreviated phrases (some of the words are not abbreviated, in fact), written in a bunch of symbols (remember the computer doesn’t know English and to it, the strings are ultimately just a bunch of numbers anyway):
(K) F u cn rd ths (2/4)

A. PLICATE
B. PLICATE  IS JOINED
C. PLICATE  IS JOINED
D. PLICATE  IS JOINED
E. PLICATE  IS JOINED
F.  OPEN

G. IVATE  OPEN
H. IVATE  OPEN
I. IVATE  OPEN
J. IVATE  OPEN
K. IVATE  OPEN
L. IVATE  OPEN
M. IVATE  OPEN
N. IVATE
O. IVATE  IS JOINED
P. IVATE  IS JOINED
Q. IVATE  IS JOINED
R. IVATE  IS JOINED
And you want to match with full phrases from elsewhere in the corpus:

I. customer advised
II. customer advised
III. customer call
IV. customer called
V. customer called
VI. customer called
VII. customer called
VIII. customer calling
IX. customer calling
X. customer care
XI. customer claims
XII. customer disconnected
XIII. customer likes
XIV. customer needs
XV. customer request
XVI. customer says
XVII. customer understood
XVIII. customer upset
XIX. customer upset
XX. customer wanted
XXI. customer wants

There are two caveats:

1. When you are under time pressure, you make mistakes. There are actually three typos in the abbreviations—typos in that all the letters are there, but they are out of the expected order, and therefore are not strictly speaking reasonable abbreviations for the words.
2. There are three phrases that are not found in the abbreviations.

K1 (7 points). Match the encoded abbreviations from the previous page to the phrases above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I.</th>
<th>IV.</th>
<th>VII.</th>
<th>X.</th>
<th>XIII.</th>
<th>XVI.</th>
<th>XIX.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II.</td>
<td>V.</td>
<td>VIII.</td>
<td>XI.</td>
<td>XIV.</td>
<td>XVII.</td>
<td>XX.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.</td>
<td>VI.</td>
<td>IX.</td>
<td>XII.</td>
<td>XV.</td>
<td>XVIII.</td>
<td>XXI.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
K2 (3 points). Now, what phrase is abbreviated in the symbols below? Place your answer in the box at the bottom of the page.
(15 points)
(L) Real Money (1/2)

Languages often have special systems for counting specific sorts of objects – and money is no exception! Speakers of Cuzco Quechua, a widely-spoken indigenous language of Peru, employed a money-counting system still based on the old colonial Spanish and Peruvian coins the real and the medio (worth half a real).\(^1\) Although Peru hasn’t issued a coin based on the real in almost 150 years – the current Peruvian currency, the nuevo sol (notated S.), divides not into reales but into 100 céntimos – the counting system depicted below was still in use in recent times.

L1 (8 points). The following is a conversation between a shopkeeper (qhatuq) and a series of customers about the price of various tubers\(^2\). Knowing that the prices of potatoes, cassavas, and ocas at this market are S. 0.05, S. 0.10, and S. 0.15 each (but not knowing which costs which), fill in the missing questions and answers. We’ve translated the first question as a guide.

Q: ¿Hayk’apaqmi huh lumu, huh papa, kinsa uqa ima?
(“How much for one cassava, one potato, and three ocas?”)
A: Pisqaralpaqmi.

Q. ¿Hayk’apaqmi iskay papa, huh lumu ima?
A. Iskaral miyunpaqmi.

Q. ¿Hayk’apaqmi suqta papa?
A. Kinsaralpaqmi.

Q. ¿Hayk’apaqmi iskay lumu, iskay uqa, huh papa ima?
A. Pisqaral miyunpaqmi.

Q. ¿Hayk’apaqmi pisqa uqa, kinsa papa ima?
A. Suqtaral miyunpaqmi.

Q. ¿Hayk’apaqmi suqta uqa?
A. ________________________________

Q. ¿Hayk’apaqmi iskay lumu, huh papa ima?
A. ________________________________

Q. __________________________________
A. Miyunpaqmi.

\(^1\)Historical footnote: eight Spanish reales made up a peso de a ocho or real de a ocho. In English these were known as "pieces of eight" or "Spanish doubloons", and in parrot talk as "Awk! Pieces of Eight! Awk!".

\(^2\)Potatoes were first domesticated in South America, and the Quechua people have cultivated hundreds of species (and thousands of varieties) of potatoes and other tubers.
(L) Real Money (2/2)

L2 (7 points). Explain your answer.
Think about the meaning of the following sentence:

(1) The 2010 Winter Olympics were in Canada.

Assuming that we only know sentence 1 to be true, is sentence 2 necessarily true?

(2) The 2010 Winter Olympics were in Vancouver.

The answer is no. Assuming we only know sentence 1 to be true, the 2010 Winter Olympics could have taken place in any Canadian city, but not necessarily in Vancouver.

Now examine the relationship between sentences 3 and 4. Assuming sentence 3 is true, is sentence 4 now necessarily true?

(3) The 2010 Winter Olympics were in Vancouver.
(4) The 2010 Winter Olympics were in Canada.

Now the answer is yes. Since Vancouver is a Canadian city, any event which occurs in Vancouver necessarily occurs in Canada.

The logical relationship which holds between sentences 3 and 4 is called an entailment. In formal terms, sentence A entails sentence B if whenever A is true, B is necessarily true. The entailment relationship is typically represented graphically this way: A \( \vdash \) B.

Here are some more examples of the entailment relationship between sentences:

(5) Shaun White is a Winter Olympian \( \vdash \) Shaun White is an Olympian
(6) Shaun White is an Olympian \( \vdash \) Shaun White is an athlete
(7) Shaun White won a gold medal \( \vdash \) Someone won a gold medal

Notice that the entailment relationship must hold in the specified direction but will not necessarily hold in both directions. So, sentence 3 entails sentence 4 even though sentence 4 does not entail sentence 3.
(M) No smoke without fire (2/3)

Now examine the relationship between sentences 8 and 9.

(8) I did not see Shaun White win the gold medal in the 2010 Winter Olympics.
(9) Shaun White won the gold medal in the 2010 Winter Olympics.

Sentences 8 and 9 illustrate a relationship called **presupposition**. In this pair of sentences, the information presented in sentence 9 is what the speaker assumes (or presupposes) to be the case when uttering sentence 8. That is, to say “I did not see Shaun White win the gold medal” assumes the belief that Shaun White won a gold medal. In formal terms, sentence A presupposes sentence B if A not only implies B but also implies that the truth of B is somehow taken for granted. A presupposition of a sentence is thus part of the background against which its truth or falsity is judged. The presupposition relationship is typically represented graphically this way: A >> B

Here are some more examples of presuppositions (where the first sentence in each pair presupposes the second):

(10) I regret not seeing Shaun White's gold medal run >> Shaun White had a gold medal run
(11) Shaun White continues to rule the halfpipe >> Shaun White had been ruling the halfpipe
(12) Snowboarding is now an Olympic sport >> Snowboarding was once not an Olympic sport
For any given pair of sentences, the entailment and presupposition relationships may or may not hold, together or separately.

For each of the following possible combinations, your task is to provide one example of a pair of sentences with an explanation of your reasoning for proposing your pair of sentences as a valid and convincing example in each case.

a. A pair of sentences in which sentence A **neither entails nor presupposes** sentence B.

b. A pair of sentences in which sentence A **entails and presupposes** sentence B.

c. A pair of sentences in which sentence A **presupposes but does not entail** sentence B.

d. A pair of sentences in which sentence A **entails but does not presuppose** sentence B.
PART II
(problems NOP)
(after the break)

Do not work on this part before the break. You have two hours for this part.
(15 points)

(N) Tale of Kieu (1/3)

Vietnamese is now written using a writing system consisting of Roman letters—just like English, but with lots of special markers or “diacritics” to show many distinctions between the sounds of many different vowels and six different tones. That writing system, called Quốc Ngữ, was developed by European missionaries, which is why Vietnamese is written with the same letters as European languages.

However, writing first came to Vietnam from China. At first, Vietnamese scholars actually wrote in Chinese, and because of the status of Chinese—as a language of government, literature, and culture—many Chinese words were borrowed into Vietnamese. When Vietnamese scholars started writing their own language, it was easy to see how to write these borrowed words: just use the same character that was used when writing Chinese. The following table gives a number of such characters used to write borrowings from Chinese into Vietnamese, their pronunciations in Vietnamese, and their approximate translation in English:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chinese Character</th>
<th>Vietnamese Pronunciation</th>
<th>English Approximate Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>天</td>
<td>thien</td>
<td>sky; heaven; god</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>上</td>
<td>thuong</td>
<td>top; highest; go up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>工</td>
<td>gong</td>
<td>labor; work; laborer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>南</td>
<td>nam</td>
<td>south</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>病</td>
<td>benh</td>
<td>illness; sickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>沖</td>
<td>trong</td>
<td>pour; infuse; wash out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>年</td>
<td>nen</td>
<td>year; person’s age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>炮</td>
<td>mich</td>
<td>silk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>人</td>
<td>nhan</td>
<td>man; human; mankind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>木</td>
<td>moc</td>
<td>tree; lumber; wood; wooden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>见</td>
<td>kien</td>
<td>see, observe, perceive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>告</td>
<td>cao</td>
<td>tell; announce; inform; accuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>弄</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>do; play or fiddle with; alley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>豆</td>
<td>dau</td>
<td>peas; beans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>沐</td>
<td>muc</td>
<td>bathe; cleanse; wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>心</td>
<td>tam</td>
<td>heart; mind; intelligence; soul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>皮</td>
<td>bi, be</td>
<td>skin; hide; fur</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to write native Vietnamese words, however, these writers had to invent new characters. They did this by using a strategy that was already used, within the Chinese writing system, for creating new characters out of existing characters. In the Chinese writing system, new characters can be made by combining two or more simpler characters. These components provide hints regarding either the meaning of a character or its pronunciation. Components may be stacked on top of one another, place beside one another, or even placed so one surrounds another. While most of the characters given above are simple characters (with only one component) a few are complex characters (with more than one component). The writing system in which Chinese characters and components are used to write Vietnamese words is called Chữ Nôm. It was through the spread of this Chữ Nôm that Vietnamese literature finally came into its own. In fact, the Vietnamese national epic, the Tale of Kieu, was composed in Chữ Nôm.
(N) Tale of Kieu (2/3)

Here is a translation of the first six lines of the Tale of Kieu in English. Beneath it, but out of order, are the same lines in Vietnamese, both in Chữ Nôm (a-f) and in Quốc Ngữ (I-VI).

N1 (11 points). Show which lines from the two Vietnamese versions are translated by each line in the English version. We’ve given you one correspondence to get you started.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Chữ Nôm</th>
<th>Quốc Ngữ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. A hundred years—in this life span on earth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. talent and destiny are apt to feud.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. You must go through a play of ebb and flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. and watch such things that make you sick at heart.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Is it so strange that losses balance gains?</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Blue Heaven’s habit is to strike a rose from spite.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 才命窖羅悄饒
b. 邃之彼嗇私豐
c. 至青慣退 膳紅打悍
d. 仍調覓覓誣応瘡恙
e. 陬戈沒局涕椀
f. 黠辭陬毆plaintext

I. Trải qua một cuộc bể đầu
II. Trăm năm trong cõi người ta
III. Trời xanh quen thói má hồng đánh ghen
IV. Những điều trong thảy mà đau đớn lòng
V. Chữ tài chữ mệnh khóe là ghét nhau
VI. Lã gì bị sắc tự phong

Translation by Huynh Sanh Thong
(N) Tale of Kieu (3/3)

N2 (4 points). Explain your answer.
Vanuatu is a South Pacific country with 74 populated islands and more than 100 languages belonging to the Oceanic language family made up of languages spoken from Papua New Guinea to Hawaii to Easter Island. In Vanuatu, speakers of these languages have developed interesting ways of saying that something belongs to someone. You are invited to examine some examples from the language spoken on the island of Tanna.

Take a look at the examples of how possession is expressed in this language (given on the next page) and then answer the questions which follow.

NOTE: \[ \text{[ə]} \] represents a sound like the last sound of ‘the’ in ‘the book’.
\[ \text{[ŋ]} \] represents a sound like the last sound of ‘hang’.
## (O) Possessed in Vanuatu (2/3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TANNA</th>
<th>ENGLISH TRANSLATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 ralah nepow</td>
<td>their canoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 rahan nasumien</td>
<td>his garden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 raham nima</td>
<td>your house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 nepika kahaw</td>
<td>rat's tail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 namam nakawo</td>
<td>your (=one person's) kava to drink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 netetamlaw</td>
<td>your child (speaking to mother and father of child)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 njlaw nahwel</td>
<td>their laplap pudding (a food) (for both of them)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 nenien raha enteni</td>
<td>Tanna's speech (enteni 'earth' = Tanna)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 ratah nanhatien</td>
<td>our language (=yours (one person) and mine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 narnen</td>
<td>his image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 rahak nien</td>
<td>my coconut (that I'm selling)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 rahak sot</td>
<td>my shirt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 narfu tem</td>
<td>man's belly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 neiwo k mil</td>
<td>my two female cousins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 pukah asoli</td>
<td>big pig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 ninok nien</td>
<td>my coconut (for eating)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 nelkak</td>
<td>my leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 piam</td>
<td>your (=one person) same sex sibling [sibling is a brother or sister]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 nisimøteliŋøm</td>
<td>your (=one person) ear-wax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 narunien raha Tjotam</td>
<td>Jotham's knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 ninlah kuri</td>
<td>their dog (for eating)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 ninan nawanien</td>
<td>his food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 nepiken</td>
<td>his tail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 ratalaw jow</td>
<td>their turtle (belonging to both of them)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 rahak jerehi</td>
<td>my lobster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 nisin</td>
<td>his excrement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 nentowi jow</td>
<td>turtle's neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 nerow raha jow</td>
<td>the turtle's spear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 nelka pukah</td>
<td>pig's leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 nakale naw mil</td>
<td>The two edges of the knife OR The two knives' edges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 nisi kunget</td>
<td>louse excrement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 nømtalaw nøkawo, ian</td>
<td>As for the kava (drink) belonging to you two, go and drink it!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 taralaw kuri ije?</td>
<td>Where is your dog (belonging to the two of you)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 ninak kuri u, ojakawan</td>
<td>My dog here, I'm going to eat (it).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 rahak nima takaku</td>
<td>My house is small</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**O1 (6 points).** Using the examples above as your model, translate each of these five expressions into the Tanna language.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Tanna Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. rat's ear</td>
<td>Jawkelpi's house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. my two dogs (that I own)</td>
<td>the pig's canoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. their bellies</td>
<td>My picture of you (=the one that I own that is an image of you)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. a brother of those two (men)</td>
<td>The house belonging to you two is big</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. our child (= yours (1 person) and mine)</td>
<td>Where is my lobster (that I am going to eat)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**O2 (6 points).** Now see if you can translate these five expressions into the Tanna language.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Tanna Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Jawkelpi's house</td>
<td>Jawkelpi's house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. the pig's canoe</td>
<td>the pig's canoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. My picture of you (=the one that I own that is an image of you)</td>
<td>My picture of you (=the one that I own that is an image of you)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The house belonging to you two is big</td>
<td>The house belonging to you two is big</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Where is my lobster (that I am going to eat)?</td>
<td>Where is my lobster (that I am going to eat)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**O3 (3 points).** There are several ways of saying 'their' in Tanna. List those found in the Tanna examples and explain the differences in meaning they express.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>'Their' in Tanna</th>
<th>Used when....</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"naclo"
Of all the major Bronze Age civilizations, there is only one for which we cannot (yet) find evidence of writing: the Inca Empire. Instead, a scribe-like class called the *khipukamayuq* kept records on collections of intricate knotted strings called *khipu*.

These knots are not random: there is a meaningful pattern that you can discover if you examine them closely. Each of these three groups of strings (two on this page, one on the next) is independent, but the same pattern is used in each. Patterns similar to this are frequent on real khipu, but only about 2/3 of the "khipu code" has been deciphered. The rest remains mysterious, and linguists, mathematicians, and computer scientists are still trying to uncover their secrets.
P1 (6 points). This khipu has lost one of its strings. Can you figure out what was on it? Draw the missing string where the dotted line is.
(P) Khipu (3/3)

P2 (4 points). Explain your answer.
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