R. One, Two, Tree (1/5)

R-1

a. [[ice cream] soda]
b. [[science fiction] writer]
c. [[customer service] representative]
d. [state [chess tournament]]
e. [[Mars Rover] landing]
f. [plastic [water cooler]]
g. [[typeface design] report]

R-2

[[country song] [platinum album]]

R-3

Default answer: A drama about control freaks (i.e., freaks about control), performed during a space mission (i.e., a mission to space).

Many other answers are possible as long as each of the bracketings is correctly defined. Below are examples of correct answers for each bracketing:

For "[control freak]"
- a person who is obsessive about having things his way

For "[[control freak] show]"
- a show that is run by control freaks
- a show that contains control freaks (i.e., the actors are control freaks)
- a show that is designed for or intended for control freaks
- a display of behavior by a control freak

For "[space mission]"
- a mission into space
For "[[space mission] [control freak show]]":
- a control freak show that is broadcast to audiences on space missions
- a control freak show that is set on a space mission
- a control freak show that is about space missions
- a display of behavior by control freaks; the display is witnessed on a space mission

Some examples of incorrect answers:
- the show contains control freaks that are interested in space missions
- the show contains control freaks who run or are on a space mission

These are incorrect because they attach "space mission" to "control freak" instead of attaching it to "control freak show". For these answers to be correct, the bracketing would have to be "[[[space mission] [control freak]] show]".

R-4

[[[[family [board game]] [togetherness effect]] [government study]] author].

Although the following might also be defensible:
[[[family [[board game] togetherness]] effect] [government study]] author]

Or even perhaps:
[[[[family [board game]] togetherness] effect] [government study]] author]
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R-5

\[ f(5) = 14 \]
\[ f(6) = 42 \]
\[ f(7) = 132 \]

There are \( f(5) \) bracketings of *togetherness effect government study author* — whatever \( f(5) \) turns out to be! Similarly there are \( f(3) = 2 \) bracketings of *family board game*. So you have to list \( f(3) \cdot f(5) \) bracketings that split the 8-word sequence into 3 words + 5 words like this. But the full list for \( f(8) \) must also consider other splits, such as 1 word + 7 words. The general principle is that

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(k) \cdot f(n-k) \text{ for any } n > 1
\]

You can therefore compute each line in the table from the previous lines. By the way, the resulting sequence of numbers is called the Catalan numbers; you can look it up.

R-6

To get [[big fluffy] pancake], we’d need adjective + adjective = adjective. To get [[samurai short] sword], we’d need noun + adjective = adjective or noun + adjective = noun.
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R-7
a. There are only 3 bracketings (fewer than \(f(4)=5\) because the rules from R-6 are “missing”):

- \([\text{roasted } [\text{red } [\text{potato pancake}]]]\) - a roasted red pancake made of potatoes
- \([\text{roasted } [[\text{red potato}] \text{ pancake}]]\) - a roasted pancake made of red potatoes
- \([[\text{roasted } [\text{red potato}]] \text{ pancake}]\) - a pancake made of roasted red potatoes

Note that the 4th logical possibility, a red pancake made of roasted potatoes, is not consistent with this word order: you’d have to call it a \textit{red roasted potato pancake}.

b. There are 7 bracketings (fewer than \(f(5)=14\)):

- \([[\text{crazy monkey} \ [\text{cheap cider} \text{ house}]]\] - the house of crazy monkeys serves cider that is cheap
- \([[\text{crazy monkey} \ \text{ cheap [cider house]}]]\] - the house of crazy monkeys that serves cider is cheap
- \([\text{crazy } [\text{monkey } [\text{cheap cider} \text{ house}]]]\) - the crazy house of monkeys serves cider that is cheap
- \([\text{crazy } [\text{monkey } [\text{cheap cider} \text{ house}]]]\) - the crazy house of monkeys that serves cider is cheap
- \([\text{crazy } [\text{monkey } [\text{cheap cider} \text{ house}]]]\) - the crazy house serves cheap cider that’s for monkeys
- \([[\text{crazy monkey} \ \text{cheap cider} \text{ house}]]\] - the house serves cheap cider that’s for crazy monkeys
- \([[\text{crazy monkey} \ [\text{cheap cider} \text{ house}]]\] - the house serves crazy, cheap cider that’s for monkeys

Again, there are logical possibilities that are not consistent with the word order, such as a house of monkeys that serves crazy, cheap cider.

R-8
a. 0
b. 1
c. 5. This generalizes R-7a. There are 5 ways to divide \(\text{Adj Adj Adj Adj}\) into an initial group that modifies the 2nd noun and a final group that modifies the 1st noun. Groups may be empty.
d. 14. First suppose that the nouns are bracketed as \([[\text{Noun Noun} \ \text{Noun}]]\). Then there are 10 ways to divide \(\text{Adj Adj Adj}\) into 3 groups which will respectively modify the 3rd, 2nd, and 1st noun (There are \(4+3+2+1=10\) ways to place two vertical dividers into this sequence. If the left divider falls before the first Adj, there are 4 positions for the right divider. If it falls before the second Adj, there are 3 positions for the right divider; and so on.) Alternatively, suppose that the nouns are bracketed as \([\text{Noun}[\text{Noun Noun}]]\). Then no adjective can modify the 2nd noun (it can only modify the whole \([\text{Noun Noun} \ \text{compound}]\)), so then we divide \(\text{Adj Adj Adj}\) into only 2 groups as before; there are 4 ways to do this.
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R-9

a. 1
b. 2
c. 3
d. 7
e. 12
f. 30

Let $g(n)$ be the number of bracketings of an alternating $n$-word sequence ending in Noun. Clearly, $g(1)=1$. To find $g(n)$, we can proceed as in R-5 and consider the ways of splitting the sequence into two shorter sequences, whose bracketings we count by applying $g$ recursively. However, we have to leave out the splits where the first sequence ends in an adjective (i.e., where the second sequence has odd length), unless it’s a single adjective (i.e., the first sequence has length 1). Thus, for odd $n>1$,

$$g(n) = g(n-2)g(2) + g(n-4)g(4) + \ldots + g(1)g(n-1),$$

while for even $n>1$,

$$g(n) = g(n-2)g(2) + g(n-4)g(4) + \ldots + g(2)g(n-2)+1 \cdot g(n-1)$$

We can therefore compute

$$
\begin{align*}
g(2) &= g(1)g(1) = 1 \\
g(3) &= g(1)g(2) = 1 \\
g(4) &= g(2)g(2) + 1 \cdot g(3) = 2 \\
g(5) &= g(3)g(2) + g(1)g(4) = 3 \\
g(6) &= g(4)g(2) + g(2)g(4) + 1 \cdot g(5) = 7 \\
g(7) &= g(5)g(2) + g(3)g(4) + g(1)g(6) = 12 \\
g(8) &= g(6)g(2) + g(4)g(4) + g(2)g(6) + 1 \cdot g(7) = 30
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that these formulas are much faster than listing all the bracketings, which is important since $f(25) = 1,289,904,147,324$ and even $g(25) = 50,067,108$. The approach here can be generalized into a single powerful technique for quickly counting the number of bracketings of any given word sequence.

Closely related algorithms are used to rapidly find the most likely bracketing or “parse” of a given sentence so that a computer can understand or translate it.